

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

CONFIRMATION NO. ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR FILING DATE APPLICATION NO. GUNE117293 Erik Gunther 08/22/2001 09/935,557 EXAMINER 01/14/2004 MARSCHEL, ARDIN H CHRISTENSEN, O'CONNOR, JOHNSON, KINDNESS, PLLC PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT 1420 FIFTH AVENUE **SUITE 2800** 1631 SEATTLE, WA 98101-2347 DATE MAILED: 01/14/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Ai	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	09/935,557		GUNTHER, ERIK	<u> </u>
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit	
	A - II - Morochol	١	1631	Idua
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	rs on the cove	r sheet with the c	orrespondence au	aaress
iod for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS	S SET TO EX	PIRE 3 MONTH	(S) FROM	
 THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMONTON Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply will be specified above, the maximum statutory period will a Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, ca Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing day earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	i(a). In no event, how within the statutory m I apply and will expire	wever, may a reply be tin ninimum of thirty (30) day re SIX (6) MONTHS from	ys will be considered time in the mailing date of this ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	iely. communication.
atus	t <u>ob</u> er 2003.			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 Octo	action is non-fir	nal.		
Za) Triis action is in the	for f	formal matters, Dr	rosecution as to ti	he merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under 2x	χ parte Quayle	e, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	453 O.G. 213.	
sposition of Claims				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>5,12-17, & 22-25</u> is/are	e withdrawn fi	rom consideration	n.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4,6-11 and 18-21</u> is/are rejected.				N.
is/are objected to.		\mont		
8) Claim(s) 1-25 are subject to restriction and/or e	election require	ement.		
application Papers	۳.			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access	'AMBELL OF DRIVE	objected to by th	e Examiner.	,
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the company of the company	drawing(s) be h	neld in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a	a).
Applicant may not request that any objection to the or Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction	tion is required	if the drawing(s) is	objected to. See 37	7 CFR 1.121(d).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note	the attached Off	ice Action or form	n PTO-152.
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex				
o o ss 440 and 120				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	gri priority unde	J. 00 0.0.0. 9 11	. , . , - . vr	
a) All b) Some c) None of	t- have been	received		
1 Certified copies of the priority document	nts have been	received in Applic	cation No.	onal Stage
			,cived in this Natio	and they
application from the international burea	uu (i O		sois od	
* Soo the attached detailed Office action for a list	St Of the dorang	. 051100 \$ 11	19(e) (to a provise	ional application)
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest since a specific reference was included in the fir	irst sentence c	of the specification	n or in an Applica	ation Data Sheet.
since a specific reference was included in another				
37 CFR 1.78.	ممم امیداد	diagtion has been	n received.	
 a) The translation of the foreign language pr 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes reference was included in the first sentence of t 	stic priority un the specificati	der 35 U.S.C. §§ on or in an Applic	cation Data Shee	et. 37 CFR 1.78.
Attachment(s)		A) T Intention Sum	nmary (PTO-413) Pape	per No(s)
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent (s) (PTO-1449)	20t)	4) Interview Sum 5) Notice of Infon 6) Other:	nmary (P10-413) Pape rmal Patent Application	on (PTO-152)
D) M Illionnation Discussife Statement(s) (1 10-1440) (aggrees)				art of Paper No. 1904

Application/Control Number: 09/935,557

Art Unit: 1631

DETAILED ACTION

ELECTION REQUIREMENT

Applicant's election of the specie polynucleic acid microarrays (claims 1-4, 6-11, and 18-21) in the Paper filed 10/10/03 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

VAGUENESS AND INDEFINITENESS

Claims 1-4, 6-11, and 18-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1, lines 1-2, the method is directed to discovering "new" compounds with expression profile-altering activity. Consideration of the actual active claim steps (a) — (d) reveals that there is therein no citation of a "new" compound. Thus, the claim is unclear as to what controls the metes and bounds of the claim, the preamble or the actual active claim steps because they differ in metes and bounds. It is further explained that two interpretations are reasonably applied to what is meant by "new" compound in line 1 of claim 1. One interpretation is that the compound is actually known in the art but not yet identified as to expression profile-altering activity. Another interpretation is that the compound has not been discovered for any purposes and is not known in the art. This unclarity also includes the above confusion as to what is meant by "new" compound. Claims which depend directly or indirectly from claim 1 are also

Application/Control Number: 09/935,557

Art Unit: 1631

rejected hereinunder due to their dependence. Clarification is requested via clearer claim wording.

PRIOR ART

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section applicant have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1, 2, 4, 6-9, and 18-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e)(2) as being clearly anticipated by Daniel et al. (P/N 6,368,794).

Daniel et al. summarizes the invention in the abstract as monitoring by altered gene expression. Daniel et al. describes the detection of gene expression via microarray nucleic acid (polynucleic acid as instantly cited) hybridization as disclosed in column 2, lines 25-32, as is the instantly elected specie of expression monitoring. The expression profiling practice of the instant invention wherein various pharmaceutical compositions are useful in treatment is cited in the reference in the bridging paragraph between columns 2 and 3. Daniel et al. discloses the preparation of multiple profiles of different cell parameters in the bridging paragraph between columns 1 and 2 as also included in the instant claim practice which span the range of cancerous to precancerous to noncancerous. The third expression profile comparison to the diseased profile and to the nondiseased profiles for monitoring analyte treatment

Application/Control Number: 09/935,557

Art Unit: 1631

efficacy is disclosed in the reference in column 2, lines 43-57, and especially in lines 54-57 as is the basic detection practice of the instant claims. It is noted that the above unclarity of metes and bounds rejection supports this rejection in that the reference discloses the practice of the actual active instant claim steps.

No claim is allowed.

Papers related to this application may be submitted to Technical Center 1600 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Technical Center 1600 via the Central PTO Fax Center. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notices published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1988), 1156 OG 61 (November 16, 1993), and 1157 OG 94 (December 28, 1993)(See 37 CFR § 1.6(d)). The Central PTO Fax Center number is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ardin Marschel, Ph.D., whose telephone number is (703)308-3894. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8 A.M. to 4 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Woodward, Ph.D., can be reached on (703)308-4028.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to Legal Instrument Examiner, Tina Plunkett, whose telephone number is (703)305-3524 or to the Technical Center receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

January 9, 2004

ARDIN H. MARSCHEL